

Stakeholder Update
Building A New
Trauma Registry
Registry Solution Work Group
(RSWG)
May, 2011

What I'll be presenting to you today...

- Background of Stakeholder involvement
- RSWG purpose, objectives & composition
- Texas Trauma System Assessments
- National Data Standards
- RSWG recommended data elements for the new trauma registry system
- How to find out more information and provide feedback to DSHS

Stakeholder Involvement

- Trauma Registry Improvement System Assessment (TRISA) by MTG Management Consultants
 - The assessment stemmed from DSHS and stakeholder concerns of the current system
 - A Stakeholder needs assessment was conducted to identify requirements for the new system
 - MTG recommended State and Stakeholder Coordination in the building of a new registry

RSWG Purpose

- To provide a forum for stakeholder knowledge and insight to be communicated to DSHS and other Stakeholder Groups to ensure the trauma registry meets the needs of the stakeholders.

RSWG Composition

Stakeholders Represented

- Hospitals
- EMS
- GETAC
- TETAF
- RACs
- DSHS
- TXDOT
- NEMESIS (Invited for data element consultation)

RSWG Objectives

- Assist with the creation of the RFO (Request For Offer) for new trauma registry
- Recommend data elements to report in the new trauma registry system
- Continue to be the source of stakeholder input on the evolution of the trauma registry system

How we work together

- The RSWG has been meeting since January of 2011 to discuss data elements for the new trauma registry
- Weekly conference calls
- ‘Go to Meeting’ to view files together

National Data Standards NEMSIS & NTDB

- The MTG Assessment indicates stakeholders desire the National EMS Information System (NEMSIS) and the National Trauma Data Bank (NTDB) to be the accepted standard for the new system
- The American College of Surgeons (ACS) in the Trauma System Consultation Report to Texas recommends NEMSIS and NTDB data elements be collected in the Texas Trauma Registry

NTDB

- The RSWG recommends that all NTDB data elements be available in the trauma registry for customer use

RSWG

NEMESIS Recommendations

- RSWG recommends all NEMESIS data elements be available in the trauma registry for customer use
- RSWG recommends the subset of NEMESIS data elements be reported by all EMS
 - The subset is the list of elements NEMESIS (Version 3) requires to be reported to them in order to do national and state benchmarking.

Current Trauma Registry Elements

- Current Trauma Registry Elements that were not the same as the NEMESIS or NTDB elements being recommended were selected to continue to be available in the system for use based on:
 - whether they pertained to system performance improvement
 - patient outcome evaluation

Next Steps

- DSHS will post documents pertaining to the recommended data elements on their website.
- DSHS will provide an on-line mechanism for collecting comments and questions pertaining to the RSWG recommended data elements
- DSHS will offer Webinars to stakeholders to discuss the RSWG recommended data elements.
- DSHS will create a Frequently Asked Questions Document and post it on the DSHS website
- DSHS will send out email notifications to stakeholders to inform them of the location of documents and how to provide feedback

Summary

- RSWG recommends all NEMESIS and NTDB data elements be available in the system for customer use
- RSWG recommends performance improvement data elements be available in the system for customer use
- RSWG will continue to work with DSHS on building the new trauma registry
- DSHS is providing a website tool and webinars for stakeholders to provide feedback on the recommended data elements