

COUNTY INDIGENT HEALTH CARE PROGRAM SUMMARY for FY 2004

State Assistance Fund Beginning Balance for FY 2004	\$5,141,028.99
Funds Transferred to CIHCP in June 2004	\$1,369,818.82
	\$6,510,847.81
Total Available Funds	\$6,510,847.81
Total Funds Requested By Counties	- \$5,586,046.07
	\$924,801.74
Unused State Assistance Funds	\$924,801.74

20 Counties Requested State Assistance

Monthly Breakdown of Requested and Reimbursed State Assistance

	<i>Requested for Month</i>	<i>Total Requested</i>	<i>Reimbursed for Month</i>	<i>Total Reimbursed</i>
<i>February</i>	\$74,698.38	\$74,698.38	\$29,121.11	\$29,121.11
<i>March</i>	\$15,013.70	\$89,712.08	\$56,189.91	\$85,311.02
<i>April</i>	\$228,107.46	\$317,819.54	\$25,281.33	\$110,592.35
<i>May</i>	\$165,916.84	\$483,736.38	\$230,717.93	\$341,310.28
<i>June</i>	\$580,790.47	\$1,064,526.85	\$438,109.91	\$779,420.19
<i>July</i>	\$981,791.15	\$2,046,318.00	\$822,606.47	\$1,602,026.66
<i>August</i>	\$3,539,728.07	\$5,586,046.07	\$3,984,019.41	\$5,586,046.07

FY 2004 State Assistance by County

County	Total Requested	Total Reimbursed	Number of Requests
ARANSAS	\$259,966.72	\$259,966.72	11
ATASCOSA	\$283,287.02	\$283,287.02	7
CALLAHAN	\$74,010.30	\$74,010.30	6
CAMERON	\$1,278,169.46	\$1,278,169.46	3
DEWITT	\$25,843.49	\$25,843.49	5
EASTLAND	\$49,187.03	\$49,187.03	3
FANNIN	\$238,367.98	\$238,367.98	7
GUADALUPE	\$224,143.42	\$224,143.42	2
HIDALGO	\$1,545,688.89	\$1,545,688.89	6
JASPER	\$67,548.98	\$67,548.98	3
JOHNSON	\$97,009.25	\$97,009.25	1
JONES	\$6,738.20	\$6,738.20	2
KINNEY	\$45,327.51	\$45,327.51	4
KLEBERG	\$290,000.07	\$290,000.07	4
MEDINA	\$434,515.62	\$434,515.62	12
MONTAGUE	\$176,366.81	\$176,366.81	11
MORRIS	\$45,577.27	\$45,577.27	1
SAN PATRICIO	\$181,798.25	\$181,798.25	6
SOMERVELL	\$203,878.19	\$203,878.19	4
TRINITY	\$58,621.61	\$58,621.61	4

FY 2004 County Payment Distributions Summary

County Payments By Services	Amount Spent	% of Total Spent
A. Physicians Services	\$9,979,783.62	14.36%
B. Prescription Drugs	\$10,854,387.67	15.61%
C. Hospital Inpatient Services	\$24,096,528.77	34.66%
D. Hospital Outpatient Services	\$14,620,642.09	21.03%
E. Lab/Xray Services	\$2,328,706.82	3.35%
F. Skilled Nursing Facility	\$14,423.84	0.02%
G. Family Planning Services	\$275,725.54	0.40%
H. Rural Health Clinic Services	\$3,124,289.87	4.49%
I. State Hospital Contract	\$3,652,917.46	5.25%
J. Optional Services	\$571,426.68	0.82%
Sub-Total County Payments	\$69,518,832.36	100.00%
Reimbursements (other than State Assistance)	- \$5,538,116.89	
Net County Payments	\$63,980,715.47	

Summary Of CIHCP Recipients

Month	# of Individuals on CIHCP Caseloads	# of SSI Appellants in CIHCP Caseloads	Counties Reporting
September	7592	1794	112
October	8837	2007	114
November	8557	1908	114
December	9793	2051	114
January	9653	2175	114
February	9362	2228	113
March	9164	2094	114
April	9164	2211	112
May	10082	2296	113
June	10388	2356	111
July	10021	2303	108
August	9923	2145	108

County Monthly Spending and Payment Distribution FY 2004

Month	Physician Services	Prescription Drugs	Hospital Inpatient Services	Hospital Outpatient Services	Lab/XRay Services	Skilled Nursing Facility	Family Planning Services	Rural Health Clinic Services	State Hospital Contracts	Optional Services	Monthly Total Expenditures
September	\$422,578.99	\$485,796.88	\$1,198,876.35	\$685,281.15	\$107,846.13	\$0.00	\$22,860.83	\$12,555.94	\$270,343.66	\$32,334.40	\$3,238,474.33
October	\$876,825.71	\$902,336.88	\$2,044,197.17	\$1,335,515.12	\$197,409.48	\$36.66	\$23,315.57	\$20,093.56	\$312,862.48	\$55,325.48	\$5,767,918.11
November	\$633,246.33	\$753,787.71	\$1,653,505.47	\$953,948.31	\$141,839.75	\$2,460.22	\$22,895.67	\$25,087.65	\$817,809.30	\$54,256.14	\$5,058,836.55
December	\$786,817.64	\$926,414.79	\$1,739,869.67	\$1,162,592.70	\$165,406.52	\$320.38	\$22,860.83	\$840,055.55	\$97,102.52	\$43,712.64	\$5,785,153.24
January	\$691,666.99	\$980,656.86	\$1,896,984.30	\$1,194,820.09	\$167,449.84	\$3,763.20	\$23,162.83	\$184,767.05	\$297,336.68	\$34,822.33	\$5,475,430.17
February	\$846,258.40	\$957,244.60	\$2,058,343.11	\$1,182,174.73	\$164,897.03	\$0.00	\$21,652.83	\$541,786.22	\$287,718.49	\$51,067.27	\$6,111,142.68
March	\$979,464.65	\$989,513.06	\$1,994,432.01	\$1,152,388.98	\$203,900.63	\$1,261.65	\$22,860.83	\$335,591.12	\$272,774.30	\$46,756.74	\$5,998,943.97
April	\$830,034.89	\$877,483.31	\$1,909,996.44	\$1,732,865.65	\$215,930.57	\$0.00	\$24,370.83	\$256,062.09	\$73,514.58	\$52,350.90	\$5,972,609.26
May	\$863,469.39	\$1,033,913.88	\$2,260,908.07	\$1,210,903.04	\$180,360.54	\$1,788.65	\$22,860.83	\$201,669.28	\$319,834.42	\$34,558.32	\$6,130,266.42
June	\$1,008,589.95	\$1,028,091.00	\$2,588,581.65	\$1,318,749.69	\$230,835.50	\$0.00	\$23,162.83	\$232,680.98	\$409,756.29	\$55,857.10	\$6,896,304.99
July	\$939,149.23	\$908,830.77	\$2,018,201.35	\$1,196,677.37	\$215,475.39	\$587.58	\$21,652.83	\$253,813.20	\$107,910.94	\$47,972.25	\$5,710,270.91
August	\$1,113,305.74	\$1,024,954.73	\$2,757,316.82	\$1,516,940.99	\$344,705.76	\$4,205.50	\$24,068.83	\$220,127.23	\$386,089.70	\$62,413.11	\$7,454,128.41

COUNTY INDIGENT HEALTH CARE SPENDING For FY 2004

County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
ANGELINA	2002	\$874,628.64	\$712,494.73	6.52%	12
ARANSAS	2002	\$369,153.12	\$599,955.01	13.00%	12
ARCHER	2001	\$150,956.64	\$74,383.45	3.94%	12
ARMSTRONG	2002	\$24,802.40	\$8,194.86	2.64%	12
ATASCOSA	2002	\$621,449.92	\$927,857.05	11.94%	12
AUSTIN	2002	\$187,263.92	\$151,925.60	6.49%	12
BAILEY	2001	\$7,589.36			0
BANDERA	2001	\$328,438.40	\$281,066.04	6.85%	12
BASTROP	2002	\$1,130,284.80	\$895,307.06	6.34%	12
BELL	2002	\$2,654,527.04	\$2,492,714.35	7.51%	12
BLANCO	2002	\$225,480.64			0
BORDEN	2002	\$98,409.60			0
BOSQUE	2002	\$189,069.92	\$54,168.39	2.29%	12
BOWIE	2001	\$950,405.76			0
BRAZORIA	2002	\$2,542,277.60	\$2,640,342.98	8.31%	11
BRAZOS	2002	\$2,524,721.76	\$821,950.93	2.60%	12
BRISCOE	2001	\$40,502.16	\$0.00	0.00%	12
BROWN	2002	\$451,536.08	\$283,673.44	5.03%	12
BURNET	2002	\$631,952.88	\$506,163.05	6.41%	12
CALDWELL	2002	\$511,182.56	\$192,592.32	3.01%	9
CALLAHAN	2002	\$82,094.16	\$159,297.51	15.52%	12
CAMERON	2002	\$1,994,787.84	\$3,414,976.08	13.70%	12
CAMP	2002	\$124,851.76			0
CARSON	2002	\$132,170.08	\$0.00	0.00%	12
CASS	2002	\$362,975.28	\$155,924.32	3.44%	12
CHAMBERS	2002	\$1,082,938.80	\$199,290.41	1.47%	12
CHEROKEE	2000	\$432,557.44	\$161,320.07	2.98%	12
COLLIN	2002	\$7,511,088.96			0
COLORADO	2002	\$131,087.12	\$78,119.12	4.77%	12
COMAL	2002	\$1,422,893.28	\$1,274,022.07	7.16%	12
COMANCHE	2002	\$2,356.16	\$0.00	0.00%	12
CORYELL	2002	\$459,897.92	\$422,580.92	7.35%	12
COTTLE	2002	\$47,816.88			0
CROCKETT	2002	\$422,849.84	\$19,418.70	0.37%	12
CROSBY	2002	\$136,267.52	\$114,211.77	6.71%	12
DELTA	2002	\$74,715.68	\$42,796.75	4.58%	12
DENTON	1998	\$3,349,934.96	\$1,548,143.62	3.70%	12
DEWITT	2002	\$69,024.08	\$97,739.06	11.33%	12
DICKENS	2002	\$28,988.64	\$18,485.33	5.10%	12
DUVAL	2002	\$394,133.84	\$86,437.12	1.75%	12
EASTLAND	2002	\$77,429.68	\$146,049.48	15.09%	12
EDWARDS	2002	\$75,522.56			0
ELLIS	2002	\$1,530,188.56	\$352,117.27	1.84%	7
ERATH	2002	\$436,933.76	\$198,407.05	3.63%	12
FALLS	2001	\$221,766.72	\$154,597.42	5.58%	12
FANNIN	2002	\$466,307.28	\$732,323.56	12.56%	12

Expenditures do not reflect audited figures, but those reported by the counties.

Counties are to report only that spending creditable toward receiving State Assistance so amounts may not reflect total spending.

County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
FAYETTE	2002	\$377,360.40	\$134,366.75	2.85%	8
FORT BEND	2002	\$7,070,951.84			0
FREESTONE	2002	\$93,389.92	\$32,122.04	2.75%	12
FRIO	1999	\$37,257.76	\$16,285.82	3.50%	12
GAINES	2002	\$58,990.80	\$14,163.73	1.92%	12
GALVESTON	2002	\$5,184,816.72	\$3,546,228.85	5.47%	12
GILLESPIE	2002	\$437,525.60	\$180,616.03	3.30%	12
GLASSCOCK	2002	\$155,437.28	\$0.00	0.00%	12
GOLIAD	2002	\$308,686.56	\$114,027.44	2.96%	12
GRAY	1999	\$306,774.24	\$155,838.94	4.06%	12
GRAYSON	2002	\$1,526,882.96	\$1,510,348.08	7.91%	12
GUADALUPE	2002	\$1,356,055.44	\$1,605,103.68	9.47%	12
HALE	2002	\$547,505.36	\$477,130.07	6.97%	12
HAMILTON	2002	\$31,215.60	\$4,882.81	1.25%	12
HARDIN	2002	\$541,839.36	\$278,609.80	4.11%	12
HARRISON	2002	\$978,259.44	\$676,299.64	5.53%	12
HAYS	2001	\$2,011,988.16			0
HENDERSON	2000	\$875,076.64	\$42,451.81	0.39%	12
HIDALGO	2002	\$6,899,289.60	\$8,616,721.71	9.99%	12
HILL	2002	\$460,246.24	\$154,861.11	2.69%	12
HOWARD	2002	\$392,749.92	\$122,177.90	2.49%	12
HUDSPETH	2001	\$108,172.08			0
IRION	2002	\$82,450.40	\$22,666.20	2.20%	12
JASPER	2002	\$320,473.84	\$395,528.24	9.87%	12
JEFF DAVIS	2001	\$69,198.48			0
JEFFERSON	2002	\$4,122,250.80	\$2,947,678.66	5.72%	12
JIM HOGG	2002	\$239,030.24	\$30,362.00	1.02%	12
JIM WELLS	2002	\$563,678.56	\$505,200.12	7.17%	12
JOHNSON	2002	\$1,262,920.16	\$1,371,436.17	8.69%	12
JONES	2002	\$79,199.92	\$86,438.10	8.73%	12
KAUFMAN	2002	\$1,185,608.48	\$194,164.61	1.31%	12
KENDALL	2002	\$609,368.16	\$6,305.21	0.08%	12
KENEDY	2002	\$227,986.16	\$0.00	0.00%	12
KENT	2002	\$104,215.76	\$26,428.89	2.03%	12
KERR	2002	\$808,604.88	\$536,871.91	5.31%	12
KING	2002	\$74,040.16	\$0.00	0.00%	12
KINNEY	2002	\$79,294.00	\$129,657.88	13.08%	12
KLEBERG	2002	\$633,178.24	\$953,098.84	12.04%	12
LAMAR	2002	\$666,717.92	\$653,032.56	7.84%	12
LAMPASAS	2002	\$241,906.08			0
LASALLE	2000	\$82,689.28			0
LAVACA	2002	\$60,625.92			0
LEE	2001	\$245,305.04	\$120,464.85	3.93%	12
LEON	1999	\$284,303.52	\$129,013.66	3.63%	12
LIBERTY	2001	\$1,281,370.00	\$185,739.11	1.16%	12
LIMESTONE	2002	\$130,305.44	\$131,085.03	8.05%	12
LIVE OAK	2002	\$209,471.04			0
LOVING	2002	\$91,140.48			0

Expenditures do not reflect audited figures, but those reported by the counties.

Counties are to report only that spending creditable toward receiving State Assistance so amounts may not reflect total spending.

County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
MADISON	2002	\$158,843.60	\$50,787.05	2.56%	12
MASON	2002	\$71,683.76	\$30,821.29	3.44%	12
MCLENNAN	2002	\$2,977,648.24	\$1,234,492.22	3.32%	12
MCMULLEN	2002	\$72,139.36			0
MEDINA	2002	\$487,557.04	\$970,362.23	15.92%	12
MILAM	2002	\$329,101.12			0
MILLS	2002	\$92,030.64	\$52,567.48	4.57%	12
MONTAGUE	2002	\$123,552.96	\$317,806.98	20.58%	12
MORRIS	2002	\$154,501.28	\$205,142.70	10.62%	12
NAVARRO	2002	\$688,044.48	\$296,625.73	3.45%	12
NEWTON	2002	\$82,338.24	\$52,510.24	5.10%	12
OLDHAM	2002	\$49,298.80	\$0.00	0.00%	12
ORANGE	2002	\$1,572,700.24	\$755,604.67	3.84%	12
POLK	2002	\$576,787.44	\$210,139.49	2.91%	12
RAINS	2002	\$177,128.64			0
RANDALL	2001	\$156,884.80	\$120,532.37	6.15%	12
REAL	2002	\$85,507.12	\$39,052.06	3.65%	8
RED RIVER	2002	\$152,840.48	\$120,613.95	6.31%	12
ROBERTS	2002	\$80,657.36	\$0.00	0.00%	12
ROBERTSON	2002	\$397,894.08	\$105,707.34	2.13%	12
ROCKWALL	2000	\$755,119.36	\$66,051.38	0.70%	12
RUNNELS	2002	\$12,595.04	\$0.00	0.00%	12
RUSK	2001	\$547,424.24			0
SAN JACINTO	2002	\$274,625.44	\$201,855.04	5.88%	12
SAN PATRICIO	2002	\$955,908.64	\$1,157,906.69	9.69%	12
SAN SABA	2002	\$101,681.36			0
SHELBY	2002	\$208,711.52	\$124,999.68	4.79%	7
SMITH	2002	\$2,504,665.28	\$1,342,295.16	4.29%	12
SOMERVELL	2002	\$463,572.00	\$727,178.80	12.55%	12
TAYLOR	2002	\$1,671,342.00	\$1,628,865.95	7.80%	12
TERRELL	2002	\$145,067.92	\$0.00	0.00%	12
TOM GREEN	2002	\$1,505,039.20	\$1,144,784.43	6.09%	12
TRAVIS	2000	\$14,908,104.40			0
TRINITY	2002	\$43,399.52	\$108,534.64	20.01%	12
UPSHUR	2002	\$657,843.20			0
UVALDE	2002	\$283,624.64	\$110,769.75	3.12%	10
VAN ZANDT	2002	\$371,874.16			0
WALLER	2001	\$643,036.24	\$230,703.22	2.87%	12
WASHINGTON	2001	\$511,197.76	\$106,890.81	1.67%	12
WEBB	2002	\$3,337,933.20	\$1,604,022.07	3.84%	12
WHARTON	2002	\$330,258.48	\$202,862.48	4.91%	12
WICHITA	2002	\$1,485,814.32	\$1,244,855.13	6.70%	12
WILLIAMSON	2002	\$6,358,243.68	\$2,750,147.32	3.46%	12
WISE	2002	\$1,110,679.68	\$296,711.68	2.14%	12
WOOD	2002	\$469,273.28			0
YOUNG	2002	\$145,626.32			0
ZAPATA	2001	\$889,373.44	\$242,060.31	2.18%	12
ZAVALA	2002	\$79,764.08			0

Expenditures do not reflect audited figures, but those reported by the counties.

Counties are to report only that spending creditable toward receiving State Assistance so amounts may not reflect total spending.

County Caseload Information

<i>August 2004</i>	County	CIHCP Caseload	SSI Appellants
	ANGELINA	0	0
	ARANSAS	107	26
	ARCHER	8	5
	ARMSTRONG	0	0
	ATASCOSA	138	22
	AUSTIN	24	3
	BANDERA	9	12
	BASTROP	114	54
	BELL	376	159
	BOSQUE	13	3
	BRAZORIA	0	0
	BRAZOS	75	7
	BRISCOE	0	0
	BROWN	26	18
	BURNET	72	3
	CALLAHAN	10	8
	CAMERON	151	22
	CARSON	0	0
	CASS	26	19
	CHAMBERS	52	5
	CHEROKEE	0	0
	COLORADO	9	6
	COMAL	156	46
	COMANCHE	0	0
	CORYELL	116	27
	CROCKETT	11	0
	CROSBY	33	4
	DELTA	12	2
	DENTON	105	48
	DEWITT	0	0
	DICKENS	0	0
	DUVAL	0	0
	EASTLAND	20	7
	ERATH	20	18
	FALLS	22	20
	FANNIN	99	26
	FREESTONE	3	0
	FRIO	0	0
	GAINES	6	0
	GALVESTON	0	0
	GILLESPIE	23	0
	GLASSCOCK	0	0
	GOLIAD	29	6
	GRAY	8	0
	GRAYSON	206	87
	GUADALUPE	59	0
	HALE	69	25
	HAMILTON	5	1
	HARDIN	95	53
	HARRISON	153	74
	HENDERSON	0	0
	HIDALGO	3533	183
	HILL	16	6
	HOWARD	17	2
	IRION	1	0
	JASPER	45	40
	JEFFERSON	581	195

Data does not reflect audited figures, rather those reported by the counties

<i>August 2004</i>	County	CIHCP Caseload	SSI Appellants
	JIM HOGG	0	0
	JIM WELLS	80	42
	JOHNSON	146	50
	JONES	5	5
	KAUFMAN	0	0
	KENDALL	0	0
	KENEDY	0	0
	KENT	0	0
	KERR	51	8
	KING	0	0
	KINNEY	1	0
	KLEBERG	158	0
	LAMAR	126	56
	LEE	20	4
	LEON	10	1
	LIBERTY	28	6
	LIMESTONE	27	16
	MADISON	2	0
	MASON	7	0
	MCLENNAN	172	76
	MEDINA	130	20
	MILLS	8	3
	MONTAGUE	28	40
	MORRIS	0	0
	NAVARRO	62	34
	NEWTON	43	0
	OLDHAM	0	0
	ORANGE	57	27
	POLK	24	14
	RANDALL	0	0
	RED RIVER	42	0
	ROBERTS	0	0
	ROBERTSON	5	0
	ROCKWALL	18	4
	RUNNELS	0	0
	SAN JACINTO	16	3
	SAN PATRICIO	249	61
	SMITH	97	63
	SOMERVELL	82	5
	TAYLOR	279	58
	TERRELL	0	0
	TOM GREEN	122	37
	TRINITY	21	6
	WALLER	18	8
	WASHINGTON	9	3
	WEBB	205	83
	WHARTON	0	0
	WICHITA	439	109
	WILLIAMSON	459	61
	WISE	0	0
	ZAPATA	24	0

Data does not reflect audited figures, rather those reported by the counties