

Vectorborne Zoonoses: Break-out Session

Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity Workshop – Oct. 2018 DSHS Zoonosis Control Branch



Session Topics

Texas Department of State Health Services

- NEDSS case investigation tips
- Lyme disease
- Rickettsial diseases
- Arboviral diseases



Don't be a Reject!

Helpful tips to keep your notification from being rejected

ELC breakout session October 3, 2018

Kamesha Owens, MPH Zoonosis Control Branch Texas Department of State Health Services



Objectives

- Rejection Criteria
- How to document in NBS (NEDSS)
- How to Report

10/3/2018

Rejection Criteria



Texas Department of State Health Services

Missing/incorrect information:

- Incorrect case status or condition selected
- Full Name
- Date of Birth
- Address
- County
- Missing laboratory data



Rejection Criteria continued



Texas Department of State Health Services

- Inconsistent information
 - e.g. Report date is a week <u>before</u> onset date
- Case investigation form not received by ZCB within 14 days of notification
 - ZCB recommends that notification not be created until the case is closed and the investigation form has been submitted



Rejection Criteria continued



Texas Department of State Health Services

- Condition-specific information necessary to report the case is missing:
 - Travel history for Zika and other non-endemic conditions
 - Evidence of neurological disease for WNND case
 - Supporting documentation for Lyme disease case determination





Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

How to Document in NBS (NEDSS)

Do	Don't
Add detailed comments in designated comments box under case info tab. (strongly recommended not required)	Leave us guessing! If you decide not to enter comments, please make sure information on paper form is legible .
 Ensure all fields required to be entered are filled in or selected. Check your dates (Onset date, date of report, etc.) to ensure the timeline reflected makes sense and is accurate.(See DEG for details) 	Leave important fields blank, i.e. symptoms, lab results, date of report, etc.
Check NBS entry against paper form to make sure the information is the same.	Leave out Condition-specific information necessary to report a case (i.e. travel dates and history for Zika cases).
Enter a comment in ALL positive ELRs for non-cases explaining why the case- patient does not meet case definition or is "lost to follow-up" (LTF) unless the ELRs are associated with an NBS investigation.	Leave positive ELRs comments section blank or not associate relevant appropriate labs to case investigations.

TEXAS Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

Reporting Zoonoses

- For LHDs: Scan and attach, fax, or send via secure e-mail the completed investigation form with relevant lab reports to your Regional ZC office for review
 - After review, the Regional ZC staff will forward to ZCB Central Office for final review and approval

Reporting Zoonoses



Texas Department of State Health Services For ZC Regional Staff: Scan and attach, fax, or send via secure e-mail completed case investigation form with relevant lab reports to Central Office ZCB epidemiologists for review and approval

Attaching Documents in NEDSS

- Not all Conditions allow this
- Scan/Save the completed form and laboratory reports as a pdf
- Attach the document under the Supplemental Info tab of the case investigation
 - Scroll down until you see "Attachments" under the "Notes and Attachments" section, then click on the button that is labeled "Add Attachment"

 Attachments

Date Added	Added By	File Name	Description		
Nothing found to display.					

Add Attachment

10/3/2018

Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services



Resources

- TDSHS Zoonosis website: http://www.dshs.texas.gov/idcu/ health/zoonosis/
- IDCU: http://www.dshs.texas.gov/idcu/ default.shtm
- NBS Data Entry Guide (DEG): https://txnedss.dshs.state.tx.us:8009/ PHINDox/UserResources/
- Epi Case Criteria Guidelines (ECCG): https://txnedss.dshs.state.tx.us:8009/ PHINDox/UserResources/



Lyme Disease Case Classification and Two-Tiered Testing

Bonny Mayes, MA, RYT

Epidemiologist

Zoonosis Control Branch

Department of State Health Services

Austin, Texas



Lyme Disease

Causative Agent: Spirochete bacterium *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto in US (5 other *Borrelia* sp in Europe or Asia)

Vectors: Blacklegged tick (deer tick), *Ixodes scapularis,* and western blacklegged tick, *Ixodes pacificus,* on Pacific Coast

Incubation Period: 3-32 days after exposure (mean 7-10 days) for EM rash and/or flu-like symptoms

Transmission: Transmission generally does not occur until after tick has been attached for at least 36 hours





The Enzootic Cycle of Borrelia burgdorferi

Health and Human Services Texas Department of State Health Services





Lyme Disease **Clinical Presentation**

A systemic, tickborne disease with protean manifestations, including dermatologic, rheumatologic, neurologic, and cardiac abnormalities. The best clinical marker for the disease is the initial skin lesion, erythema migrans (EM). For most patients, the expanding EM lesion is accompanied by other acute symptoms, particularly fatigue, fever, headache, mildly stiff neck, arthralgia, or myalgia.



www.cdc.gov/lyme/signs symptoms/index.html

Erythema Migrans (EM) Rash

www.cdc.gov/lyme/signs_symptoms/index.html

- Occurs in approximately 70 to 80 percent of infected persons
- Begins at the site of a tick bite after a delay of 3 to 30 days (average is about 7 days)
- Expands gradually over a period of days reaching up to 12 inches or more (30 cm) in diameter
- May feel warm to the touch but is rarely itchy or painful
- Sometimes clears as it enlarges, resulting in a target or "bull's eye" appearance
- May appear on any area of the body
- Annular erythematous lesions occurring within several hours of a tick bite represent hypersensitivity reactions and do not qualify as EM!

Lyme Disease rashes and Look alikes: www.cdc.gov/lyme/signs_symptoms/rashes.html



"classic" Lyme disease rash



Itchy rash due to insect bites

ELC 2018 - Vectorborne Diseases





Texas Department of State Health Services



Health and Human Services Texas Department of State Health Services **<u>Confirmed</u>**: A case with physician diagnosed EM \geq 5 cm in size with an **exposure** in a **high-incidence** state or country*, **OR** a case of physician diagnosed EM \geq 5 cm in size with laboratory confirmation with an exposure in a **low-incidence** state or country*, **OR** a case with at least one late manifestation that has laboratory confirmation.

*Exposure is defined as having been (≤ 30 days before onset of EM) in wooded, brushy, or grassy areas (i.e., potential tick habitats). An exposure in a high-incidence state is defined as exposure in a state with an average Lyme disease incidence of at least 10 confirmed cases/100,000 persons for the previous three reporting years. A low-incidence state is defined as a state with disease incidence of <10 confirmed cases/100,000 persons for the previous three reporting years. www.cdc.gov/lyme/stats/tables.html

Texas is considered a low incidence state for Lyme disease!



Lyme Disease High Incidence Areas



Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

- Most commonly reported vector-borne illness in the United States
- Does **not** occur nationwide and is concentrated heavily in the northeast and upper Midwest

High Incidence States:

Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, Wisconsin



www.cdc.gov/lyme/stats/index.html

Outside of the US

Lyme disease is common in some forested areas in Europe. Countries with highest reported incidence include **Germany, Austria, Slovenia, and Sweden.***

*Infectious Disease Clinics of North America, Vol. 22/Ed. 2, Fish AE, Pride YB, Pinto DS, Lyme carditis, 275-288



Health and Human Services Texas Department of State Health Services **Confirmed**: A case with physician diagnosed $EM \ge 5$ cm in size with an exposure in a high incidence state or country, **OR** a case of physician diagnosed $EM \ge 5$ cm in size with laboratory confirmation with an exposure in a low incidence state or country, **OR** a case with at least one **late manifestation*** that has laboratory confirmation.









Health and Human Services Texas Department of State Health Services *For purposes of surveillance, late manifestations include any of the following when an alternate explanation is not found: wwwn.cdc.gov/nndss/conditions/lyme-disease/case-definition/2017/

- **Musculoskeletal system**: recurrent, brief attacks (weeks or months) of objective joint swelling in one or a few joints, sometimes followed by chronic arthritis in one or a few joints.
 - **NOT:** chronic progressive arthritis not preceded by brief attacks; chronic symmetrical polyarthritis or arthralgia, myalgia, or fibromyalgia syndromes alone
- **Nervous system**: any of the following, alone or in combination: lymphocytic meningitis; cranial neuritis, particularly facial palsy (can be bilateral); radiculoneuropathy; or, rarely, encephalomyelitis.
 - **NOT:** headache, fatigue, paresthesia, or mildly stiff neck alone
- **Cardiovascular system**: acute onset of high grade (2nd or 3rd degree) atrioventricular conduction defects that resolve in days to weeks and are sometimes associated with myocarditis.
 - **<u>NOT</u>**: palpitations, bradycardia, bundle branch block, or myocarditis alone



Texas Department of State Health Services **Probable**: Any other clinically compatible* case of physiciandiagnosed Lyme disease that has laboratory confirmation *fever, chills, headache, fatigue, muscle & joint aches, swollen lymph nodes, EM rash

Suspect: A case of EM with no known exposure and no laboratory evidence of infection, **OR** a case with laboratory evidence of infection, but no clinical information available

<u>Note</u>: Lyme disease reports will not be considered cases if the medical provider specifically states this is not a case of Lyme disease, or the only symptom listed is "tick bite" or "insect bite"



Lyme Disease Laboratory Confirmation Tests

Positive culture for *Borrelia burgdorferi*

OR

IgG immunoblot seropositivity using established criteria*

OR

Notes:

- *CDC Immunoblot interpretation criteria
- While a single IgG WB is adequate for surveillance purposes, a two tier test is still recommended for patient diagnosis.



Lyme Disease Immunoblot (Western Blot) CDC Interpretation Criteria

<u>IgM immunoblot</u>

 Considered positive if 2 of the following 3 bands are present: 24 kDa (*OspC), 39 kDa (BmpA), and 41 kDa (Fla)

<u>IgG immunoblot</u>

 Considered positive if 5 of the following 10 bands are present: 18 kDa, 24 kDa (*OspC), 28 kDa, 30 kDa, 39 kDa (BmpA), 41 kDa (Fla), 45 kDa, 58 kDa, 66 kDa, and 93 kDa



wwwn.cdc.gov/nndss/conditions/lyme disease/case definition/2017/ *Depending upon the assay, OspC could be indicated by a band of 21, 22, 23, 24 or 25 kDA



Health and Human Services **Texas Department of State** Health Services

Two-Tiered Testing for Lyme Disease

First Test



Division of Vector Borne Diseases | Bacterial Diseases Branch

Second Test

https://www.cdc.gov/lyme/diagnosistesting/labtest/twostep/index.html ELC 2018 - Vectorborne Diseases 25



Lyme Disease ELISA or EIA (Screen)

- False-negative results common if tested too early
 - Only 50% sensitivity if test taken within first two weeks of infection
 - Patients with EM typically seronegative
- Sensitivity of screen is very good AFTER the EM stage of illness
 - Antibody levels may remain elevated for months to years after treatment!
- False positive results are an issue also some possible causes of false-positive screening tests include:
 - Tick-borne relapsing fever
 - Syphilis (Treponema pallidum)
 - Periodontal disease (*Treponema denticola*)
 - Systemic lupus erythematosus
 - Acute Epstein-Barr virus infection
 - Helicobacter pylori
 - Subacute bacterial endocarditis
 - Rheumatoid arthritis

Lyme Disease IgM Immunoblot Issues



Health and Human Services Texas Department of State Health Services

- Omitting the screen and using immunoblot only decreases specificity of serological testing!
 - Immunoblot will NOT be done if screen is negative
 - With NO screen, more immunoblots will be run

 some will be false positives
 - Erroneous scoring of a faint band is a common reason for false-positive readings
 - IgM results more affected by this problem:
 - IgM Abs are more non-specifically "sticky" than IgG Abs
 - Only 2 of 3 bands are required for an IgM to be reported as positive (as opposed to 5 of 10 for IgG)
 - "A single erroneously scored faint band will affect IgM results more readily than it will affect IgG results."





Examples of Lyme Screens and Immunoblots

Lyme Screens (EIA/ELISA/IFA):

- > Lyme disease (Borrelia burgdorferi) Antibody Screen:
 - 1.22 (Final)

Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

- Borrelia burgdorferi Ab.IgG+IgM: 1.47 index
- Borrelia burgdorferi Ab.IgM: 0.94 index

BORRELIA BURGDORFERI AB: Positive 80 Lyme IFA Screen



- > Borrelia burgdorferi Ab.IgM band pattern: Positive
- B BURGDOR IGG SER QL IB: Positive
- Borrelia burgdorferi antibody band pattern: Lyme IgG Western Blot bands 30, 39, 41, 45, and 58 present positive

Borrelia burgdorferi 28kD Ab.IgG: Present or Absent (Labcorp there will be a separate ELR for all 10 bands)
ELC 2018 - Vectorborne Diseases







Lyme Disease Laboratory Tests That Are <u>NOT</u> Recommended

Some laboratories offer Lyme disease testing using assays for which the accuracy and clinical usefulness have NOT been adequately established.

Examples of unvalidated tests include:

- Capture assays for antigens in urine
- Culture, immunofluorescence staining, or cell sorting of cell wall deficient or cystic forms of *B. burgdorferi*
- Lymphocyte transformation tests
- Quantitative CD57 lymphocyte assays
- "Reverse Western blots"
- In house criteria for interpretation of immunoblots
- Measurements of antibodies in joint fluid (synovial fluid)
- IgM immunoblot tests without a previous ELISA/EIA/IFA
- Lyme CSF Ab tests

www.cdc.gov/lyme/diagnosistesting/labtest/otherlab/index.html

<u>www.medscape.com/viewarticle/764501?src=par_cdc_stm_mscpedt&faf=1</u> PCR testing has limitations...DNA testing does not distinguish between living and dead organisms, and laboratory contamination with amplified DNA poses a risk for false-positive results...Is PCR useful for the diagnosis of Lyme disease? In general, the answer is no.







^see http://www.cdc.gov/lyme/stats/index.html for Lyme incidence data Immunoblot = Western Blot (per CDC criteria) EIA/ELISA = enzyme immunoassay/enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay IFA = immunofluorescence assay

2018 Lyme Disease (LD) **Case Classification** Algorithm



lealth and Human Service Texas Department of State Health Services

Lyme Disease Case Investigation

- IgM positive blot is only relevant if screen performed and was equivocal or positive
- Onset date important!
 - IgM positive blot only relevant if specimen collected ≤30 days after symptom onset
- Make sure all lab reports are in NEDSS
- Physician does not have to <u>definitively</u> diagnose patient with Lyme disease ("will not be considered cases if the medical provider specifically states this is *not* a case of Lyme disease")
- Inquire about travel history!
- Consider "alternate explanation"
 Bhoumatoid arthritic lupus, etc.
 - Rheumatoid arthritis, lupus, etc.
- Make sure all required fields are completed in NEDSS (refer to Data Entry Guidelines – Quick Reference section for patient demographics/lab report)



Useful Resources

- DePietropaolo DL, Powers JH, Gill JM. Diagnosis of Lyme Disease. Am Fam Physician. 2006 Mar 1;73(5):776.
 > clinical recommendations, how to determine pre-test probability, interpretation of serologic testing
- Lantos PM, et al. Poor Positive Predictive Value of Lyme Disease Serologic Testing in an Area of Low Disease Incidence. Clin Infect Dis. 2015 Nov 1;61(9):1374-80. doi: 10.1093/cid/civ584. Epub 2015 Jul 20.
 - study on positive predictive value of two-tiered testing
- <u>www.cdc.gov/lyme/</u>
 - signs and symptoms, treatment, diagnosis and testing, data and statistics, transmission, post-treatment Lyme disease syndrome, info for healthcare providers, educational materials, tick bite/removal/testing info
- www.dshs.state.tx.us/idcu/disease/lyme/
 - > overview, data, resources



Scenario 1

- Patient
 39 yo male that resides in Central Texas; denies outdoor activity, other than sitting in back yard with dog at night (backyard faces woods); did travel to Indiana for a wedding 3 weeks prior but spent no time outdoors
- Clinical Information
 - Fever/sweats/chills, arthralgias, myalgias, neck pain, fatigue, adenopathy, confusion, Bell's palsy, radiculoneuropathy
- Lab results
 - Lyme EIA screen positive/IgM WB positive
 - WNV IgM positive at CPL (6.85 acute, 1.95 conval)
 - Dengue IgM negative
- Diagnosis
 - Subacute disseminated Lyme disease



ealth and Human Service

Texas Department of State Health Services

Scenario 1 (cont.)

- Requested follow up testing at CDC
- PRNT at CDC:
 - Negative for DEN, SLE, WNV, ZIKV
- Tick-borne Relapsing Fever
 TBRF EIA & WB **Positive**!
- Classified as "Not a Case" for Lyme Disease





Scenario 2

Patient

 12 yo male that resides in South Texas – no recent travel outside of county of residence

Clinical Information

- Arthralgias, fatigue, muscle weakness, myalgia, shortness of breath
- Symptom onset gradual, followed tick bite months prior
- Lab results
 - Lyme EIA screen equivocal/IgM WB positive (IgG negative)
 - DOC in March (onset in prior year)
- Diagnosis
 - Early disseminated Lyme disease (med records state "previously negative for Lyme disease and is IgG and IgM positive and confirmed by reflex testing")


Texas Department of State Health Services

Scenario 2

- Classified as Not a Case
- Lab results
 - Lyme EIA screen equivocal/IgM WB positive (IgG negative)
 - DOC in March (onset in prior year)
 - IgM blot is <u>not</u> relevant if onset is more than 30 days prior to DOC!



ealth and Human Service

Texas Department of State Health Services

Scenario 3

Patient

- 45 yo female that resides in East Texas
- No travel outside of Texas
- Frequently walks in park

Clinical information

• Fever, headache, arthralgias, fatigue, myalgias

Lab results

- IgG WB positive
- DOC in July (onset mid-February)

• Diagnosis

 Not stated in med records, but patient was treated with doxycycline for 2 weeks



Texas Department of State Health Services

Scenario 3

- Classified as **Probable** Lyme Disease case
 No EM or late manifestation
- Lab resultsIgG WB positive
- Confirmatory: IgG immunoblot seropositivity using established criteria
- While a single IgG WB is adequate for surveillance purposes, a two-tier test is still recommended for patient diagnosis



Texas Department of State Health Services

Rickettsial Disease Diagnostics and Epidemiology

Bonny Mayes, MA, RYT Zoonosis Control Branch Department of State Health Services Austin, Texas

Rickettsial Infections Spotted Fever & Typhus Groups

Typhus Group

- Rickettsia prowazekii (louse-borne, epidemic, sylvatic typhus)
- > *R. typhi* (flea-borne, endemic, murine typhus)

Spotted Fever Group (tick borne)

- > R. aeschlimannii (Rickettsiosis)
- > *R. africae* (African tick-bite fever)
- > *R. australis* (Queensland tick typhus)
- > *R. conorii* (Mediterranean spotted fever, Boutonneuse fever)
- R. heilongjiangensis (Far Eastern spotted fever)
- > *R. Helvetica* (Aneruptive fever)
- > *R. honei* (Flinders Island spotted fever, Thai tick typhus)
- > *R. japonica* (Japanese spotted fever)
- > *R. marmionii* subspecies (Australian spotted fever)
- > *R. massiliae* (Mediterranean spotted fever-like disease)
- > R. parkeri (Maculatum infection)
- > R. rickettsii (Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever)
- > R. sibirica (North Asian tick typhus, Siberian tick typhus)
- > *R. sibirica mongolotimonae* (Lymphangitis-associated rickettsiosis)
- > *R. slovaca* (Tickborne lymphadenopathy)
- *Rickettsia* species 364D

http://www.microbeworld.org/inde x.php?option com_jlibrary&view article&id 3343

http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/travel/yellowbook/2016/infectious diseases related to travel/rickettsial spotted typhus fevers related infections anaplasmosis ehrlichiosis http://www.cdc.gov/otherspottedfever/_{ELC 2018} - <u>Vectorborne Diseases</u> 41

Flea-borne Typhus

Etiologic Agent:

bacterium Rickettsia typhi (and possibly R. felis)

• Vectors:

primarily rat fleas (Xenopsylla cheopis) and cat fleas (Ctenocephalides felis)

- Reservoirs:
 - rats, opossums, domestic cats, and other small mammals
- Modes of transmission:
 - transmission to humans can occur when flea feces*, containing the bacteria, are scratched into the bite site or other abrasion in the skin, or are rubbed into the conjunctiva
 - another possible mode of transmission is inhalation of dried rat or cat flea feces*

*Infection by *R. felis* has been attributed to flea saliva rather than feces http://www.ehtjournal.net/index.php/ehtj/article/view/7168/9204#CIT0013





Flea-borne Typhus History

- Also known as murine or endemic typhus
- Worldwide distribution
- First identified in the United States in 1913
- Over 5,000 cases were reported annually through the mid-1940's mainly in SE states and California
- 1945 US Public Health Service initiated campaign to control rats and their fleas – by late 1980's, case counts reduced to less than 100 cases/yr
- Most states discontinued reporting flea-borne typhus after the drastic reduction of cases
- Not nationally reportable, so reliable case counts are not known
- Still reportable in some states, including Texas, California and Hawaii, where the majority of cases are thought to occur

Flea-borne Typhus Disease in Humans

- Symptoms occur from 6 to 14 days after exposure
- Most common symptoms include:
 - > Fever
 - > Headache
 - > Malaise
 - > Anorexia
 - > Myalgia
 - Nausea and/or vomiting
 - Rash occurs in ~50% of those infected
 - Generally starts on trunk and spreads to the arms and legs but usually does not occur on the face, palms or soles
 - Thrombocytopenia*
 - Elevated liver enzymes**

*reported in 16% of cases in Texas, 2003 2013 ** reported in 27% of cases in Texas, 2003 2013

Typhus-associated deaths are rare but may occur in 5% of those infected

Flea-borne Typhus Epidemiology in Texas

- Flea-borne typhus has been included in the Annual Summary of Notifiable Diseases in Texas since 1946
- From 1946 to 2014, there were 6,729 cases reported (mean=97.5); highest number of cases reported in 1946 (n=1147) and lowest in 1994 (n=9)
- Typhus cases occur year round, but majority of cases occur from May to July
- Most cases occur in the southern portion of the state, from Nueces County southward to the Rio Grande Valley



Reported Typhus Cases in Texas by Year, 2004-2017*



ELC 2018 - Vectorborne Diseases



ELC 2018 - Vectorborne Diseases

47

Tick-borne Spotted Fever Group Rickettsia (SFGR)

- Etiologic Agents (in the U.S.):
 - > Rickettsia sp. Bacteria
 - Rickettsia rickettsii (Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever)
 - Rickettsia parkeri (Maculatum infection)
 - *Rickettsia* species 364D (Eschar-associated illness)
 - Rickettsia amblyommii?? (detected in many ticks; pathogenicity has not been determined)

• Vectors:

- > Rickettsia rickettsii mainly:
 - The American dog tick (*Dermacentor variabilis*), Rocky Mountain dog tick (*Dermacentor andersoni*), brown dog tick (*Rhipicephalus sanguineus*), cayenne tick (*Amblyomma cajennense*)
- > Rickettsia parkeri:
 - Gulf Coast tick (Amblyomma maculatum)
- > Rickettsia species 364D
 - Pacific Coast tick (Dermacentor occidentalis)
- Reservoirs:
 - ticks; dogs and rodents
- Modes of transmission:
 - transmitted to a vertebrate host via the bite of an infected tick
 - generally, the tick must be attached and feeding for about 24 hours before the bacteria can be transmitted to the host



SFGR History

- Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF), the protypic disease of the spotted fever group, has been a reportable disease in the US since the 1920's
- This disease was first described in the Rocky Mountain region of the US, but has been reported throughout most of the contiguous US
- The majority of cases (>60%) are reported from only five states (North Carolina, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Tennessee and Missouri)



www.cdc.gov/rmsf/stats/

 As of 2010, RMSF has been included in a broader category called Spotted Fever Group Rickettsiosis (SFGR) many of the cases being reported as RMSF were not actually identified as being specifically *Rickettsia rickettsii*

SFGR Disease in Humans

- Disease onset averages one week following the bite of an infected tick (for RMSF, first symptoms typically begin 2-14 days after tick bite)
- Most common symptoms include:
 - Fever
 - > Headache
 - > Myalgia
 - > Anemia
 - > Myalgia
 - Nausea and/or vomiting
 - Rash occurs in >80% of those infected with RMSF
 - generally starts on ankles and wrist and spreads to the torso; often present on the palms and soles
 - > Thrombocytopenia
 - Elevated liver enzymes
- RMSF can be fatal in as many as 20% of untreated cases

Spotted Fever Epidemiology in Texas

- Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever/Spotted Fever Group Rickettsiosis has been included in the Annual Summary of Notifiable Diseases in Texas since 1951
- From 1951 to 2014, there were 1,353 cases reported (mean=21); highest number of cases reported in 1983 (n=108) and lowest in 1958, 1962, 1965 and 2001 (n=0)



Flea-borne Typhus and SFGR in Texas, 2008-2014







Flea-borne Typhus Epi Case Criteria 2018 Case Definition/Case Classification

Flea-borne typhus is a rickettsial disease whose course resembles that of louse-borne typhus, but is generally milder. The onset is variable, often sudden and marked by headache, chills, fatigue, fever and general pains. A macular rash may appear on the 5th or 6th day, initially on the upper trunk, followed by spread to the entire body, but usually not to the face, palms or soles. Absence of louse infestation, geographic and seasonal distribution, and sporadic occurrence of the disease help to differentiate it from louse-borne typhus.

Flea-borne Typhus Epi Case Criteria 2018 Case Definition/Case Classification (cont.)

Clinical evidence: Any reported acute onset of fever and one or more of the following: headache, myalgia, anorexia, rash, nausea/vomiting, thrombocytopenia, or any hepatic transaminase elevation.

Confirmed: Clinically compatible case that is laboratory confirmed

Probable: Clinically compatible case with supportive laboratory results:

- IFA serologic titer of \geq 1:128, **OR**
- A single CF of >16, **OR**
- Other supportive serology (single titer $\geq 1:128$ by an LA, IHA, or MA test)

Note: Because serologies for rickettsial diseases can be crossreactive, specimens should be tested against a panel* of *Rickettsia* antigens, including, at a minimum, *R. rickettsia* and *R. typhi*, to differentiate between SFGR and non-SFGR *Rickettsia* spp. In addition, according to CDC, Rickettsial IgM tests lack specificity (resulting in false positives); thus, IgG titers are considered to be much more reliable.

* Specimens can be forwarded to the DSHS Serology lab for Rickettsial panel testing.

Flea-borne Typhus Epi Case Criteria 2018 Laboratory Confirmation Tests

Serological evidence of an elevation (four-fold change) in immunoglobulin G (IgG)-specific antibody titer reactive with *R. typhi* or *R. felis* by IFA, complement fixation (CF), latex agglutination (LA), microagglutination (MA), or indirect hemagglutination antibody (IHA) test in acute – and convalescent – phase specimens ideally taken at least 3 **weeks apart**,

OR

Positive PCR assay to R. typhi or R. felis,

OR

Demonstration of positive *R. typhi* or *R. felis* IF of skin lesion (biopsy) or organ tissue (autopsy),

OR

Isolation of *R. typhi* or *R. felis* from clinical specimen

SFGR Epi Case Criteria 2018 Case Definition/Case Classification

Spotted fever group rickettsioses (SFGR) are a group of tick borne infections caused by some members of the genus *Rickettsia*. The most well known SFGR is Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF), an illness caused by *Rickettsia rickettsii*. Disease onset for RMSF averages one week following a tick bite. Illness is characterized by acute onset of fever and can be accompanied by headache, malaise, myalgia, nausea/vomiting, or neurologic signs; a macular or maculopapular rash may appear 4 7 days following onset in many (~80%) patients, often present on the palms and soles. RMSF can be fatal in as many as 20% of untreated cases, and severe fulminant disease can occur. In addition to RMSF, human illness associated with other spotted fever group *Rickettsia* species, including infection with *Rickettsia parkeri*, has also been reported. In these patients, clinical presentation appears similar to, but can be milder than, RMSF; the presence of an eschar at the site of tick attachment has been reported for some other SFGR.

SFGR Epi Case Criteria 2018 Case Definition/Case Classification (cont.)

Clinical evidence: Any reported acute onset of fever and one or more of the following: rash, eschar, headache, myalgia, anemia, thrombocytopenia, or any hepatic transaminase elevation.

Confirmed: Clinically compatible case (meets clinical evidence criteria) that is laboratory confirmed

Probable: Clinically compatible case (meets clinical evidence criteria) with serological evidence of elevated IgG or IgM antibody reactive with *R. rickettsii* or other spotted fever group antigen* by IFA (serologic titer of \geq 1:128)

Note: Because serologies for rickettsial diseases can be crossreactive, specimens should be tested against a panel* of *Rickettsia* antigens, including, at a minimum, *R. rickettsia* and *R. typhi*, to differentiate between SFG and non-SFG *Rickettsia* spp. In addition, according to CDC, Rickettsial IgM tests lack specificity (resulting in false positives); thus, IgG titers are considered to be much more reliable.

* Specimens can be forwarded to the DSHS Serology lab for Rickettsial panel testing. ELC 2018 - Vectorborne Diseases 57

SFGR Epi Case Criteria 2018 Laboratory Confirmation Tests

Serological evidence of an elevation (four fold change) in immunoglobulin G (IgG) specific antibody titer reactive with *Rickettsia rickettsii* or other spotted fever group antigen* between paired serum specimens (one taken in the first week of illness and a second 2 4 weeks later), as measured by a standardized indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA),

OR

Detection of *R. rickettsii* or other spotted fever group DNA* in a clinical specimen by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay,

OR

Demonstration of spotted fever group antigen* in a biopsy/autopsy specimen by IHC,

OR

Isolation of *R. rickettsii* or other spotted fever group rickettsia* from a clinical specimen in cell culture

* Note: Spotted fever group species included are: *R. aeschlimannii, R. africae, R. australis, R. conorii, R. heilongjiangensis, R. helvetica, R. honei, R. japonica, R. marmionii, R. massiliae, R. parkeri, R. rickettsii, R. sibirica, R. sibirica mongolotimonae, R. slovaca.* Spotted fever group species **excluded** from this condition are: *R. felis* and *R. akari*



Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

Typhus & SFGR - Clinical Evidence

Flea-borne Typhus	Spotted Fever Group Rickettsiosis
Must have Fever	Must have Fever
Headache	Headache
Myalgia	Myalgia
	Anemia
Anorexia (* <i>reported in 52% of cases in Texas, 2003-2013</i>)	
Rash, if present, typically starts on upper trunk and spreads, but generally not to palms/soles (* <i>reported in <50% of cases in Texas, 2003-2013</i>)	Rash occurs in ~80% of patients with RMSF; typically begins on ankles and wrists and spreads to trunk – may be on palms/soles
Nausea/vomiting (* <i>reported in 51% of cases in Texas, 2003-2013</i>)	Nausea/vomiting
Thrombocytopenia (*reported in 16% of cases in Texas, 2003-2013)	Thrombocytopenia
Elevated liver enzymes (*reported in 27% of cases in Texas, 2003-2013)	Elevated liver enzymes

Serologic Testing for Rickettsial Diseases

TEXAS

Texas Department of State Health Services

- IFA is considered the gold standard of serologic testing for Rickettsial diseases (94-100% sensitive after 14 days may lack titers in first 7 days of illness)
- HOWEVER, "serological testing is limited by antibody cross-reactivity with RMSF and typhus antigens, leading to false positives by both ELISA and IFA" which can contribute to misdiagnosis and misreporting of both diseases
- **IgM titers are not reliable** (*CDC: "We do not recommend IgM testing for Rickettsial diseases, especially in the absence of IgG testing, as false positives and false negatives are common."*)
- If cost restrictions limit testing, IgG is preferred over IgM!
- Physicians need to collect <u>both</u> acute and convalescent serum specimens and order IFA tests
- Should test for both (order Rickettsial panel)

2014 Probable Typhus Cases - Titers



Health and Human Services Texas Department of State Health Services

Onset, Date Blood Collected	<i>R. typhi</i> IgM	<i>R. typhi</i> IgG	<i>R. rickettsia</i> IgM	<i>R. rickettsia</i> IgG
12/8, 12/15	1:512	1:256	1:512	<1:64
3/25, 4/1	1:128	1:64	1:128	<1:64
1/22, 2/3	1:512	1:512	1:64	1:128
4/11, 4/22	1:128	1:512	1:128	1:128
4/29, 5/2	1:128	1:128	1:64	1:128
12/31, 1/7	1:512	1:256	1:256	1:64

Of the first 45 case investigation forms reviewed, ~25% had positive RMSF titers (and not all had RMSF testing done!)

Laboratory Criteria

Health and Human Services Texas Department of State Health Services

Flea borne typhus	Spotted Fever Group Rickettsiosis
Fourfold or greater increase in IgG Ab titer in acute and convalescent sera* (C)	Fourfold or greater increase in IgG Ab titer in acute and convalescent sera* (C)
IFA* – IgM <u>or</u> IgG Titer of ≥1:128 (P)	IFA* – IgM <u>or</u> IgG Titer of ≥1:128 (P)
	ELISA (EIA) only good for screening purposes – values do not reflect accurate quantification of Ab titers
PCR can detect <i>Rickettsia</i> sp. and differentiate from <i>R.</i> <i>rickettsii</i> (CDC)	PCR** on punch skin biopsy, swab of rash, serum or blood is best test for RMSF! (CDC)
Immunohistochemistry or culture (CDC)	Immunohistochemistry or culture (CDC)

- * A single specimen cannot be used to differentiate between SFGR and fleaborne typhus with confidence
- ** Must collect during acute phase of illness no more than two days after doxycycline treatment begins



Texas Department of State Health Services

Rickettsial Disease Case Investigation Example

- Patient with fever/chills, headache, anorexia, photophobia, malaise, myalgia, thrombocytopenia, elevated liver function tests, rash (spread from arms/legs to trunk)
- Doctor orders RMSF IgG and IgM
 ≻IgG 1:128
 ≻IgM 1:256
- No convalescent testing
- No known tick or flea exposure, no exposure to wild animals, dogs are present at residence
- Classified as probable SFGR



Texas Department of State Health Services

Rickettsial Disease Case Investigation Example (cont.)

- No typhus testing done
- ZCB requested that the serum be forwarded from commercial lab to DSHS for Rickettsial panel testing
- Results:
 - >R. rickettsii IgG 1:128
 - *>R. typhi* IgG 1:1024
- Changed condition to probable flea-borne typhus!

How would you classify this case?

Onset, Date	<i>R. typhi</i>	<i>R. typhi</i>	<i>R. rickettsia</i>	<i>R. rickettsia</i>
Blood Collected	IgM	IgG	IgM	IgG
1/8, 1/16	1:256	1:128	1:256	1:128

- Patient has fever, headache, nausea/ vomiting, malaise, thrombocytopenia
- Patient does not report exposure to fleas or ticks
- Patient does not have rash
- Patient from area with both typhus & spotted fever occur
- Patient did not travel

Texas Department of State Health Services

> Physician unable to get convalescent sample for testing

"Rickettsia, unspecified" Epi Case Criteria 2018 Case Definition/Case Classification

Flea-borne typhus and spotted fever group rickettsioses (SFGR) are a group of vector-borne infections caused by some members of the genus *Rickettsia*. These infections can be difficult to differentiate clinically and serologically (due to antibody cross-reactivity). Illness is characterized by acute onset of fever that may be accompanied by: headache, malaise, myalgia, nausea and/or vomiting, anorexia, and rash.

Clinical evidence: Acute onset of fever and one or more of the following: rash, headache, nausea/vomiting, anorexia, myalgia, anemia, thrombocytopenia, or any hepatic transaminase elevation.

"Rickettsia, unspecified" Epi Case Criteria 2018 Case Definition/Case Classification (cont.)

Probable: Clinically compatible case (meets clinical evidence criteria) with serological evidence of elevated IgG or IgM antibody reactive with spotted fever AND typhus group antigens by IFA (serologic titers of \geq 1:128) that cannot be classified as either flea-borne typhus or SFGR.

Note: For "Rickettsia, unspecified," an undetermined case can only be classified as probable. This occurs when a case has compatible clinical criteria with laboratory evidence to support infection, but spotted fever and typhus fever group titers are equal.



Rev. 09-18



IgM titers are not reliable: false negatives and false positives are control of an analy a log of the second second second for illness * Cannot rule out flea-borne typhus without testing because antibodies cross react





Texas Department of State Health Services

Rickettsial Disease Case Investigation

- Make sure ALL Rickettsial lab reports are in NEDSS
- If only spotted fever testing done, see if sample can be forwarded to DSHS Rickettsial panel testing
- Need to contact patient
 - Information about exposure to vectors
 - Travel history
- Treatment information, including dates
- Make sure all required fields are completed in NEDSS (refer to Data Entry Guidelines – Quick Reference section for patient demographics/lab report)



Texas Department of State Health Services

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website: <u>www.cdc.gov/rmsf/</u>
- Diagnosis and Management of Tickborne Rickettsial Diseases: Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever, Ehrlichioses, and Anaplasmosis --- United States. A practical Guide for Physicians and Other Health-Care and Public Health Professionals: <u>www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5504a</u> <u>1.htm</u>
- Byork, A. Trip Report: Assessment of human spotted fever in the Lower Rio Grande Valley of Texas (Epi-Aid 2010-101).

Arboviruses

Testing options & interpretation

- Test availability & requesting special testing for uncommon arboviruses
- Blood donor screening for arboviruses (West Nile virus and Zika virus): how does it work?
- Suspected false positive result at a commercial lab? What next?
- Scenarios

TEXAS

Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State

Health Services


TEXAS Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

Arboviral Diagnostic Assays

Test Type	Availability	Specimen Types	Caveats
IgM antibody detection	Widespread - commercial and public health labs	Serum, CSF	False positives and cross-reactivity common, unclear duration of IgM
Plaque Reduction Neutralization Test (PRNT)	Limited – public health labs only (certain states, CDC)	Serum, CSF	Time consuming, cannot distinguish timing of infection
PCR	Widespread - commercial and public health labs	Serum, urine*, CSF, whole blood, amniotic fluid*	Limited window of detection, false positives possible
Tissue pathology (*Zika only)	Very limited – CDC only (requires pre- approval)	Placenta, umbilical cord, products of conception, fetal losses	Very time consuming, cannot distinguish between maternal and fetal infection

Plaque-Reduction Neutralization Test (PRNT)



Texas Department of State Health Services

- Requires mixing the patient's serum with live virus to determine how effective it is at neutralizing the virus
- Measures <u>total</u> neutralizing antibody rather than IgM specifically
- Cross-reactivity issues with similar viruses Zika, dengue, WNV



Source:

http://www1.paho.org/hq/dmdocuments/2010/p4.lanciotti CHIK%20PAHO%20mtg%20Peru%202010_1_1.pdf



Texas Department of State Health Services

Tracking Testing Progress



Uncommon Arboviral Diseases



Texas Department of State Health Services

- Tick borne Encephalitis: endemic to parts of Europe
- Japanese Encephalitis: endemic to Asia, vaccination available (diagnostic cross-reactivity with flaviviruses)
- Yellow Fever: central Africa, South America (Brazil outbreak) at risk, vaccination available (diagnostic cross-reactivity with flaviviruses)
- **Powassan**: rare, highest risk in Northeast US (flavivirus)
- **St. Louis Encephalitis**: rare but endemic to Texas and US, cross-reactivity with West Nile as a flavivirus (panel test recommended)
- Cache Valley: rare but some activity in North & Central America (Bunyamwera serogroup)
- California serogroup, including California encephalitis, Jamestown Canyon, Keystone, La Crosse, Snowshoe hare, Keystone, and Trivittatus viruses: isolated cases
- Eastern & Western Equine Encephalitis: rare, but picked up in animal surveillance in Texas
- **Heartland**: emerging, <50 cases nationwide, likely spread by Lone Star Tick
- Colorado Tick Fever: Dermacenter andersoni ticks (high elevation), uncommon

			Arbovirus Test	ing Options and Locations (as of September 2018)			
Arbovirus	DSHS	CDC Fort Collins ¹	CDC Dengue Branch ¹	Commercial Lab			
Chikungunya							
PCR ²	Yes ³	Yes	Yes (last resort)	Yes, Mayo Medical lab, CPL ⁴ , Focus Diagnostics (Quest), and ARUP			
IgM/IgG	Yes ³	Yes	Yes (last resort)	Yes, Mayo Medical lab, CPL ⁴ , Focus Diagnostics (Quest), and ARUP			
PRNT	No	Yes	Yes (last resort)	No			
Dengue							
PCR ²	Yes ³	No	Yes	Yes, Focus Diagnostics (Quest), ARUP			
NS1	No	No	Yes	Yes, Mayo Medical Lab and Focus Diagnostics (Quest)			
IgM/IgG	Yes ³	Yes	Yes (last resort)	Yes, CPL ⁴ , Viracor, Focus Diagnostics (Quest), Mayo Medical lab and ARUP			
PRNT	No	Yes	Yes (last resort)	No			
Eastern/Western Equin	e Enceph	alitis					
PCR	No	Yes	No	No			
IgM/IgG	No	Yes	No	Yes⁵, Mayo Medical lab and most commercial labs			
PRNT	No	Yes	No	No			
Japanese Encephalitis							
PCR	No	Yes	No	No			
IqM/IqG	No	Yes	No	Yes, ARUP			
PRNT	No	Yes	No	No			
St. Louis Encephalitis							
PCR	No	Yes	No	No			
IqM/IqG	Yes ³	Yes	No	Yes⁵, Mayo Medical Lab and most commercial labs			
PRNT	No	Yes	No	No			
West Nile							
PCR	No	Yes	No	Yes ⁵ , ARUP, CPL, Mayo Medical Lab, LabCorp, and Focus Diagnostics (Quest)			
IgM/IgG	Yes ³	Yes	No	Yes ⁵ , ARUP, CPL, Mayo Medical Lab, LabCorp, and Focus Diagnostics (Quest)			
PRNT	No	Yes	No	No			
Yellow Fever							
PCR	No	Yes	No	No			
IqM/IqG	No	Yes	No	No			
PRNT	No	Yes	No	No			
Zika							
PCR ²	Yes ³	Yes	Yes (last resort)	Yes, ARUP, CPL4, Focus Diagnostics (Quest), Mayo Medical lab, LabCorp, Viracor and BioReference			
IaM²/IaG	Yes ³	Yes	Yes (last resort)	Yes, ARUP, CPL ⁴ , Focus Diagnostics (Quest), Mayo Medical lab, LabCorp, Viracor and BioReference			
PRNT	No	Yes	Yes (last resort)	No			
California serogroup, C	ache Vall	ev. Powassa	n. Tick-borne En	cephalitis, and other rare arboviruses			
PCR, IgM, and/or PRNT	No	Yes	No	Uncommon; California serogroup serology available through ARUP, Focus Diagnostics (Quest), and Mayo Medical lab			
¹ Samples that require CD section 9 (CDC reference	OC testing test) on th	should be ser e G-2A form.	it through DSHS a	fter prior coordination with Zoonosis Control. Please indicate which CDC test is being requested under			

² May also be performed at select LRNs, military bases and hospitals (contact your local LRN, military base or hospital for information on which tests are being performed).

³ Use G-2V form request IgM or PCR for CHIK, ZIKA, DEN and/or IgM for WNV and SLE; no IgG available. DSHS will forward to CDC, as needed.

4 CPL (9200 Wall St.) is known by the following aliases: Sonic reference lab and Sonic Healthcare USA.

⁵ Testing for SLE and Western/Eastern equine encephalitis included in a panel at Focus Diagnostics (Quest), Mayo Medical Lab, ARUP, and CPL (includes West Nile plus previous arboviruses mentioned on their panel).



Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

DSHS Specimen Submission: G-2V

Carly Vincing Speci Carly State Health Services Special Postantian Servi			logy Specime 1 / Services S 149347, Au 00 W. 49 th St -7111 x731	n Submission Form CLIA #4500550 Section, MC-194 Istin, Texas 787 reet, Austin, Texa 8 or (512) 776	(Sept 2017) 644 7 14–9347 s 78756 -7318		Fur D Fluce DSHS	BHD Usa Bar Goda	Onlig Lais	pas U Huro	
Specimen Acquisition:	(512) 776-7	598	http://ww	w.dshs.texa	is.gov/lab		S.	ction 5 OPDEDIN		INFORM	ATION
Sectio	on 1. SUE	SMITTE	R INFORM	ATION - ("	REQUIRED	1		CIONS. ORDERIN	REQUIRED)		and those of -
20000011	ZOON	OSIS (CONTRO		ON		Croanig	Physician's NPT Number	Undering Phys	ician s Man	H (2)
NPI Norshar **	Address "			L DITIO			C. Arren	Section 6. PAY	OR SOURCE -	(REQU	IRED)
	1100 V	VEST -	49TH ST				1. Ref	Tex testing will be performe	d when necessary a	nd the appr	opriate party will be
City "			State **	Zip Code **			2. 11 11	e patient does not meet pro	gram eligibility require	ements for abmitter w	the test requested
AUSTIN Phone **			Contact	78750			3. Me	ficare generally does not pa	y for screening tests	please ret	er to applicable Third
(512) 776-2890							me	dical necessity determination	is and Advanced Be	neficiary N	otice (ABN)
Fax **			Clinic Co	de			4. 11	ulrements. Iedicaid or Medicare is indic	ated, the MedicaldM	edicare na	mber is required.
(512) 776-7454							5. 17 p	ase write it in the space pro rivate insurance is indicated.	vided below. , the required billing i	nformation	below is designated
Sec NOTE: Patient name on specie	tion 2. P/	REDAMU	INFORMA1	ION - ("" I	REQUIRED)	card.	6. Ch	an asterisk (*). ack only one box below to i	indicate whether we	should bill t	the submitter.
Specimen must have two (2) id	entifiers that r	match this fi	prim.		i keurua un reu care		Me	dicald, Medicare, private ins	urance, or DSHS Pro	igram.0000	10011
Last Name			Fists	lame		M		Medicaid (2)		ledicare	(8)
Address **		_		Tele	obose Number		N	ledicaid/Medicare #:	-		
								Submitter (3)	D P	rivate In	SURANCE (4)
City **		State **	Zip Code		Country of Origin / Bi-National ID			BIDS (1720)		8 Elimina	Elimination (1619)
	-							BT Grant (1719)	D T	itle X (12)	
DOB (mm/dd/yyyy) **	Age	Sex **	SSN		Pregnant?			HIV / STD (1608)	8 1	ille XX (13	4)
					Yes No	Unknown	- 12	IDEAS (1610)	님 :	X CLPPP	(9)
White		- 8	Black or M	ican American		Hispanic	1	immunizations (1609)		conosis (1 Ither:	1620)
Hace: American Indian	Native Alask	an	Asian		Ethnicity.	Non-Hispanic	1				
Native Hawaiian	Pacific Island	fer	Other	1		Unknown					
Date of Collection ** (REQUIRE	ED) Time	of Collecti		Colect	ed By		HMO / M	lanaged Care / Insurance Co	impany Name *		
Medical Record #	Alien#/C	UNICOC I		Dravinue DSHS	Seaciman Lab Nun	that .	Adviress				
ICD Diagnosis Code 44 (1)	ica	D Diagnosis	s Code ** (2)		ICD Diagnosis Cod	e ** {3}	City*		9	tate *	Zip Code *
Date of Orenat	transmite (C. or	a hame			1.0%		Basana	Ible Back II and Marcon First	Alama) f		
Ligie or onser	eðungig í Sáu	ipuna -			no.		Hespons	iole Party (Lastiname, Prot	isane)		
inpatient Outpatient Cuttreak association: Surveillance				Insurance	e Phone Number *	Responsible Par	ty's insuran	toe ID Number *			
	Realize 2 SPECIMEN COURCE OF TYPE					Group Name Group Number					
Abscess (site)	oucoun	Nasa	opharyngeal:	Gwash Oswa	b 🗆 aspirate						
Blood		Nasa	al Swab				1 hereby a	y authorize the release of in	formation related to	the services	s described here and
Bone marrow		Nasi	al Wash		Tinesue (eite) Services Laboratory Services		aboratory Services 5	lection."	formeric of practic register		
Buccal swab		Rect	al swab		Urethral agreed			or patient or responsible party.			
CSF CSF		Seru	im:		Urine U		Signature 1 Cut			Cate*	
Eye		Acute	e date:/		Vaginal	10	Section 7. ARBOVIRUSES				
Feces/stool		Conv	al. date:/_		Wound (sit	e)(e)	Zika, Dengue, and/or Chikungunya				
Lymph node (site)		Sput	um: Natural			- (Other:			8	
		Sect	tion 4. VIR	OLOGY		topolitica (C				/	
Electron Micros	copy						St. Loui	E: DSHS may test for 2 is Encephalitis (SLF) an	ika, Dengue, Chi d/or other emerni	kungunya ng arbovi	West Nile (WH),
Influenza survei	illance //	nfluenza	real-time 5	T-PCR)			Service	w, PCR, or both will be	performed at DSH	IS and th	e teeling
Vaccine received	d: Yes	No No		ord			sympto	ology and specific virus ms and current epidemi	ological testing or	iteria. Te	on clinical sting may initially
Date vaccine rec	eived:						be perfe	ormed to identify a spec	ific suspected vin	us or virus	es. Reflex testing
Travel history (if	known):						In some	instances, specimens	may also be forw	arded to 0	CDC for further
	me PT D	CP					testing.				
I measies, real-(ii	ne RI-P	UN.						FOR D	SHS USE ON	ILY ***	-
Mumps, real-tim	ne RT-PC	R					Testin	o Criteria?	I Met	Not 1	Viet
					PCR:	Serology;	Initials;		Date:		
MERS Coronav	irus (Nov	el coro	navirus)				D C	D C			
++++ Prior author	rization req	176.7676	+++ for authesis	tion				00			
Call mectous Dis	eess (215)	10-1010	in autrofizi	and the			-HA.	······H.A			
U Other:							1 00		ablamagir	Id flag	
NOTES: All dates must be ent	ared in mm/d	d/yyyy form	iet.				A REC	pments, if stored in an	appliance prior	to shippi	ing.
A = Document date & time sp date & time specimens w	ecimens wer	to INCUBA	TED or if stored	In an appliance	e prior to shipping, d e bottom box.	ocument	Indicat	e removal from:	-10.0	DATE	TIME
Please see the form's instruction	ns for details	on how to d	complete this for	m. Visit: http:	//www.dshs.texas.g	wfiab!	DEBEE 2			-	(hr min) AM
FOR LABORATO	RYUSE	ONLY		See	cimen Received		Roor	n Temo C	old E	0200	
					and a second state of the		1 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1			and the second s	

→ Do not use sample forms from DSHS Lab website! Must contact the lab to create an account or request forms.

	Section 7. ARBOVIRUS	ES
Zika, Dengue,	and/or Chikungunya	

Arbovirus IgM (West Nile, St. Louis Encephalitis) A Other:

NOTE: DSHS may test for Zika, Dengue, Chikungunya, West Nile (WN), St. Louis Encephalitis (SLE) and/or other emerging arboviruses, as needed. Serology, PCR, or both will be performed at DSHS and the testing methodology and specific viruses analyzed will be based on clinical symptoms and current epidemiological testing criteria. Testing may initially be performed to identify a specific suspected virus or viruses. Reflex testing may be ordered based on initial results and/or approval of additional testing. In some instances, specimens may also be forwarded to CDC for further testing.

Testing Cr	iteria?	Met E	Not Met
PCR:	Serology:	Initials:	Date:
D C	DC		
D	D		
ΠZ	ΠZ	2	



Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

DSHS Specimen Submission: G-2A

Specimen Acquisition	EXAS parlment of te Health Services m: (512) 778-7598	G-2A Specimen Sul 3024401 Laboratory Service P. O. Box 149347, Courier: 1100 W. 49 (888) 963-7111 x http://www.dshs.t	bmission Form (Sept 2017) CAP# CLA #45D0660644 es Section, MC-1947 Austin, Texas 78714-9347 * Street, Austin, Texas 78716 7318 or (512) 776-7318 texas, gov/lab ctass.gov/lab	Place DSH5	SHS Usa Oniy ^{ina} Bur Ooda Lubal Hara	
SubmittenTPI Number **	Submitter Name "	RINFORMATION	- (- REQUIRED)	Ordering Physician's NPI Number **	Ordering Physician's Name **	
00000011 NPI Number **	ZOONOSIS Address **	CONTROL DI	VISION	Section 8 PAYO		
	1100 WEST	49TH ST		1. Reflex testing will be performed whe	in necessary and the appropriate party will be billed.	
AUSTIN		State " Zip Cos TX 7874	de " 56	third party payor will cover the testing 3. Medicare generally does not pay for	, the submitter will be billed. screening lests-please refer to applicable Third party	
Phone **		Contact		payer guidelines for instructions rega necessity determinations and Advance	rding covered tests, benefit imitations, medical ced Beneficiary Notice (ABN) requirements.	
(512) //6-2890		Clinic Code		 If Medicaid or Medicare is indicated, write it in the space provided below. If private insurance is indicated, the r 	the MedicaldiMedicare number is required. Please	
(512) 776-7454		INFORMATION		asterisk (*). 6. Check only one box below to indica	te whether we should bill the submitter, Medicaid,	
NOTE: Patient name on sp	ection 2. PATIENT pecimen is REQUIRED & I	MUST match name on this	(** REQUIRED) form & Medicard/Medicare card.	Medicare, private insurance, or DSH	5 Program.00000611	
Specimen must have two () Last Name **	2) identifiers that match thi	s form. First Name **	M	Medicaid (2) Medicaid/Medicare #:	Medicare (8)	
Address **			Telephone Number			
City **	State **	Zip Code **	Country of Origin / Bi-National ID #	BIDS (1720) BT Grant (1719)	TB Elimination (1619)	
DOB (mm/dd/yyyy) **	Sex ** SSN		Pregnant?	HIV / STD (1608)	Title XX (13) TX CLPPP (8)	
Race: American India	an / Native Alaskan	Black or African Arren	rican Hispanic Ethnicity: Non-Hispanic	Immunizations (1609)	Zoonosis (1620) Other:	
Date of Collection ** (REQU	UIRED} Time of Co	lection AM C	Calected By	HMO / Managed Care / Insurance Com	pany Name *	
Medical Record #	Alien #/CUI/CDC II	D PM Previous D	/SHS Specimen Lab Number	Address *		Section 9. CDC REFERENCE TESTS
ICD Diagnosis Code ** (1)) ICD Diagnosit	s Code ** (2)	ICD Diagnosis Code ** (3)	City *	State * Zip Code *	Chagas Disease @
Date of Onset	Diagnosis / Symptoms		Rak	Responsible Darty (Last Name Diret No	ama).*	
				Comparison of any (class manner, Pills) Pil		Cysticercosis @
Inpatient 0	utpatient Utbn	aak association:	Surveillance	insurance Phone Number*	Responsible Party's Insurance ID Number*	
Blood	Section 3. SP	ECIMEN SOURCE	OR TYPE	Group Name	Group Number	Echinococcus (0)
CSF		Other:		"I hereby authorize the release of inf hereby assign any benefits to which I	formation related to the services described here and am entitled to the Texas Department of State Health	
HIV Ag-Ab M	Section fultiplex (serum)	4. HIV SCREENIN	NG	Services, La Signature of p	boratory Services Section." patient or responsible party.	
HIV 1 / 2 sup	plemental assay,	serum 🛦				
Synhilis Sore	Sec	tion 5. SYPHILIS				
Syphilis RPR	{ {only} ● ▲					l entospirosis @
Syphilis confi	irmation TP-PA •					
 Justification 	n:Section & P	EFERENCE SEPO		Signature*	Date *	Strongyloidiasis @
Brucella 🛦			Measles IgM A	Cha	gas Disease @	
Ehrlichia IFA	A Inhi A		Mumps IgG A	Cyst	ticercosis @	Toxocariasis @
Hepatitis A lo	gM ≜	H	QuantiFERON (Tuberculosis	s serology)	2 @	
Hepatitis A to	otal 🔺		Rickettsial panel (RMSF, typ	ihus) 🛦 🛛 📋 HTL	V-I @	VDRL (CSF only) (0)
Hepatitis B c	ore antibody (Ab)		Rubella screen {IgG}	Lept	ospirosis @	
Hepatitis B s	urface antibody (Ab) 🛦 📋	Schistosoma IgG EIA A		ocariasis @	
Hepatitis B s	urface antigen (A	g) 🔺 🔲	Tularemia (Francisella tulare	nsis) 🛦 🛛 🗍 VDR	L (CSF only) @	
Hepatitis C (I Measles (IoG	HCV) A	H	Yersinia pestis (Plague), ser	um 🛦 📋 Othe	ar: @)	
NOTES: All dates must be	a entered in mm/dd/yyyy fo	mat. • = Justf	ication is required.	A REQUIRED for incubated a	hipments or cold#rozen	
A = Document data & time document data & time	e specimens were INCUE a specimens were remov	SATED or if slored in an ap ad from FREEZER / REFP	ppliance pror to shipping. RIGERATOR in the bottom box.	shipments, if stored in an a	ppliance prior to shipping.	
Please see the form's instru http://www.dshs.texas.	uctions for details on how t gow1eb/.	s complete this form. Visit	t.	Indicate removal from:	(hr min)	79
FOR LABORAT	TORY USE ONL	Y		Specimen Received	CUBATOR PM	
Contraction of the last	Contraction of the			PUD PUD	L Sund L Flüzen	

Laboratory Response Network

 All LRNs have Trioplex PCR (Zika, chikungunya, and dengue)

Health and Human Services Texas Department of State Health Services

> Select LRNs have West Nile and/or Zika IgM capability → consult with your LRN for confirmation on options



TEXAS Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

Blood Donor Screening

- Blood collection agencies screen yearround for many infectious diseases using Nucleic Acid Amplification Tests (NAATs)*
 - West Nile: pooled donations
 - Zika: individual donations & pools
- Reactive donors should be reported by blood collectors to wnv@dshs.texas.gov
 - West Nile: report as a Presumptive Viremic Donor (PVD) in NBS/ArboNet
 - **Zika**: further testing and/or investigation needed before any reporting in NBS

*NOT considered equivalent to diagnostic PCR assays in terms of case reporting



JOHN HELLERSTEDT, M.D. COMMISSIONER P.O. Box 149347 Austin, Texas 78714-9347 :-888-963-7111 TTY: 1-800-735-2989 www.dshs.state.tx.us

March 6, 2018

Dear Colleague,

Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

The Texas Department of State Health Services (DSHS) Zoonosis Control Branch (ZCB) utilizes multiple forms of statewide surveillance to detect the presence of arboviruses, such as West Nile virus (WNV), and other zoonotic pathogens in Texas. The DSHS-ZCB relies on reports from blood collection agencies to detect WNV, Zika virus (ZIKV), and Chagas disease.

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF STATE HEALTH SERVICES

I write to ask that all blood collection centers appropriately report all donors with reactive tests for WNV, ZIKV, and Chagas disease. If your center uses a screening assay under an IND protocol, please include results of follow-up testing.

Communicable disease reporting is required under the Texas Health and Safety Code Section 81.042 and Texas Administrative Code Section 97.2. To report, simply send a secure email to WNV@dshs.texas.gov or fax the report to **512-776-7454**. Providing the following data points will suffice:

Collection Agency; Unique BUI #; Test Name, Collection Date; Last Name, First Name, Donor Phone Number, Donor Address, Date of Birth, Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Ethnicity (Y/N).

If your location has a city or county health department, we recommend that you also share this same information with them. Contact information for the health department(s) serving the county where you are located can be found at <u>www.dshs.texas.gov/idcu/investigation/conditions/contacts/</u>.

Thank you in advance for your partnership in this important public health activity. If you have questions, you may contact me at tom.sidwa@dshs.texas.gov.

Sincerely,

C'an

Tom J. Sidwa, D.V.M., M.P.H. Manager, Zoonosis Control Branch Basel, 06 October 2017

FDA approves Roche cobas Zika as first commercially-available donor screening test for Zika virus

Two types of Zika screening NAAT:

- Procleix under IND protocol, follow up PCR, IgM, IgG required
- Cobas FDA approved, no longer IND protocol

Blood Donor Screening

		Evenetatio	ZIK		
ervices State		ns	Procleix NAAT	Cobas NAAT	WNV
		Follow up testing by blood collector expected?	Yes, repeats, Trioplex PCR ("alternative NAT"), Zika IgM & Zika IgG	No (only 2 repeats of initial test)	No (only repeats of initial test, sometimes WNV IgM and IgG)
		Public health testing needed?	No, unless concern of local transmission	Case-by- case, but unlikely	Yes, if symptoms develop post- donation
		Report as a confirmed or probable case with only initial reactive result?	No	No	No (only as a "not a case" WNV PVD)

Health and Human S Texas Department o Health Service



I LIAAD lealth and Human Service Texas Department of State Health Services **Commercial Lab Issues**

False positive results

- ARUP chikungunya IgM assay has been causing concern in recent months; CDC and ARUP working on a solution
 - IgM positive results in individuals <u>who meet clinical</u> <u>criteria</u> (at least fever) should be verified at DSHS
- All commercial Zika IgM assays have specificity and sensitivity issues, and still require repeat and follow up testing at a public health lab (an **automatic process**!)
 - Interpret non-negative Zika IgMs with a grain of salt!

→ Coordinate submission of suspected falsepositive specimens to DSHS with your Regional Zoonosis Control office

TEXAS Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

Scenario #1

- → A physician contacts your office about a patient who recently returned one week ago from a trip to visit family in upstate New York, where they spent time outdoors and removed at least one tick from themselves. They are experiencing fever, headache, weakness, confusion, loss of coordination, and difficulty with speech.
- → The provider wants to test CSF and serum for Powassan virus. What next?
- Exposure and symptoms are plausible for Powassan
- Consult Arbovirus Testing Options cheat-sheet
 - Testing available at CDC Fort Collins
 - Contact Regional Zoonosis Control office about the patient's background and to coordinate submission of specimens



I EXAS Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

Scenario #1, continued

- → Which DSHS submission form should be filled out and how? Which tests do you want to request?
 - G-2A form, either using your public health submitter account or work with the patient's provider to submit one



Can recommend the physician pursue West Nile testing through commercial labs (or possible at DSHS)

→ How should the specimen be shipped and the form be sent? Expected turnaround time for results?

- Ensure the G 2A form is sent with the specimens, which should be shipped frozen to DSHS Austin.
- At least 2 weeks, up to a month likely for IgM and PRNT; let physician know. ELC 2018 Vectorborne Diseases



TEXAS ealth and Human Servi

Texas Department of State Health Services

Scenario #2

→ You see this result in NBS for a patient who lives in Houston, with no other arbovirus testing. What next?

- Lab Reports (6)

Date Received	Reporting Facility/Provider	Date Collected	Test Results
07/06/2018 9:12 AM E	Reporting Facility: ARUP LABORATORIES Ordering Provider: Paul Saleeb	06/28/2018	Chikungunya virus Ab.IgM: 1.49 IV Reference Range: (<=0.79) - (Final)

- You speak with the ordering provider and find out that the patient has not left their residence county in a month.
 - On 6/23/18, they felt feverish with chills and had a headache and myalgia after spending some evenings outside with family

→ Should this result be reported as an arbovirus disease case yet? If yes, how? If no, what next?

- With no exposure, does not make sense despite meeting lab and clinical criteria as probable chikungunya
 - Call ARUP to request the specimen be forwarded to DSHS Austin (ideally, frozen)



I LAAS Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

Scenario #2, continued

→ Which DSHS submission form should be filled out and how? Which tests do you want to request?

 G-2V form, either using your public health submitter account or work with the patient's provider to submit one

Section 7. ARBOVIRUSES

Zika, Dengue, and/or Chikungunya

Arbovirus IgM (West Nile, St. Louis Encephalitis) A Other: _____

NOTE: DSHS may test for Zika, Dengue, Chikungunya, West Nile (WN), St. Louis Encephalitis (SLE) and/or other emerging arboviruses, as needed. Serology, PCR, or both will be performed at DSHS and the testing methodology and specific viruses analyzed will be based on clinical symptoms and current epidemiological testing criteria. Testing may initially be performed to identify a specific suspected virus or viruses. Reflex testing may be ordered based on initial results and/or approval of additional testing. In some instances, specimens may also be forwarded to CDC for further testing. Can consider West Nile fever as well, but priority is to verify or rule out the chikungunya result

→ Where to send the form? What information does Zoonosis Control need to have?

 Let ZCB know the background info (and ideally a tracking shipment # for the specimen from ARUP) and send the form securely to ZCB; we will coordinate with DSHS lab



lealth and Human Service Texas Department of State Health Services Scenario #2, continued

→ One week after the sample is shipped to DSHS, you see this result in NBS for the patient. What next? Should you report this as an arbovirus disease case?

Date Received	Reporting Facility/Provider	Date Collected	Test Results
09/20/2018	Reporting Facility:	06/28/2018	Chikungunya virus Ab.lgM:
9:38 AM	TX.Austin.SPHL		Negative
09/17/2018	Reporting Facility:	06/28/2018	Chikungunya virus RNA:
3:52 PM	TX.Austin.SPHL		Not Detected
09/17/2018	Reporting Facility:	06/28/2018	DENGUE VIRUS 1+2+3+4 RNA:
3:52 PM	TX.Austin.SPHL		Not Detected
09/17/2018	Reporting Facility:	06/28/2018	Zika virus RNA:
3:52 PM	TX.Austin.SPHL		Not Detected

- The ARUP result has been ruled out as a false positive by the result from DSHS. Note Trioplex PCR ordered as it was a day 5 specimen.
 - Make comments in the ARUP lab to explain why it is "Not A Case", or make a "Not A Case" chikungunya investigation and associate all labs



Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

Questions?



ELC 2018 - Vectorborne Diseases



Texas Department of State Health Services

Thank you

Kamesha Owens, MPH Bonny Mayes, MA Kelly Broussard, MPH Zoonosis Control Branch Epi Team

ELC 2018 - Vectorborne Diseases